G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references

Pris 13-19(384) & Pris 38-18(182)

Mily. 26-2-17(182)

Pris 13-19(182)

Pris 13-19(182)

Later references.

Pris 23-20(1-2)

" 40-20(1-8)

191 7

(Pris)

File No. 44-17

Serial Nos. 1-9

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 169

Part B for August 1920.

SUBJECT.

Prayer of the Bangalore Central Jail contractor Messrs. K. B. Puttayya Bros. for the grant of a Commission of 5%.

169. To the I. G. of Prisons.

No. G. 4758 of 30. 8. 20.

States that the prayer of the Contractors can not be sanctioned & that some consideration may if necessary be shown to them in dealing with the Contractors next year.

WD 788—GPB—5,000—7-11-19

9.9.20.

G. R. S. III—1] 1919

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Pris)

NOTES.

File No. 44—19

FILE HEADING.

Prayer of the B. C. Jail contractor Messrs K.B. Puttappa Bros for the grant of a commission of 5 %

From

The - D. J. - of Prisons in Mysore.

No. 422 (69-20 Dated 2/3-3-1920 Received 8-3-1920

Diary No. 16249	Enclos. 4 - Spare Copies. —	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards for disposal the petn of Messrs: K.B. Puttappa Bros, ration contracts of the B.C. Jails, praying that they may be allowed to supply Ragi, Rice, Tuvara & Bellar at market rates with a commission of 5%

NOTES AND ORDERS.

T

For the current calendar year 1920 the tender of the petitioners was accepted by Govt in Go. No. G 14833-41 Pris 13-19-4 / 30 IR Dec 19

2) The grounds for consideration of the

/2

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Pris File No. 144 of 1919.

Serial No.

Petitioners' prayer are:—

1) The refusal of the Food Controller to grant priority certificate to them to import boiled rice from the Madras Presidency. and

2) The abnormal rise in the price of food stuffs & the consequential loss they have to sustain thereby.

3) Boiled rice is an indispensable article of rations for the inmates of the gaol and the condition of the market shows that there are no signs of abatement in the prices of food grains.

4) Submitted for orders.

SLR 10/3

1) This 13-19 containing Pls 1 & 2 is put up.

SLR 11/3

2) Submitted for orders. The Deputy Pris has not given his opinion re the prayer

3) Please perusal Pris file 13-19 re 1202 in which Supdt of Jails asked for certain articles at market rate ruling in 1919

Asst 11/3

4) Submitted for orders.

5) There seems to be much force in the representation of the petrs

6) Member - No objection to allowance - the contractors

D.M. 18/3

1913.

5088. 19-3-20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Price's File No. 44 of 1919

1649

(2)

Serial No.

accepting his contracts take his chance
of making money or of his price to down
or of losing if price go down. His essential
feature of his Contract-of-service will
be appear of his proposal is
accepted. No amending
applied

Sd/-

12/3/20

11) since writing his above, the
Contractor interviewed me and
represented that he was losing money
having worked Contract and that
he would rather prefer his
deposit amount of Rs 2000 than
abandone his Contract. Under
Whereupon he has represented he shall put stop if his
Financial Secretary favours
the matter his point.

Sd/-

23639

12) For favour of Opinion

Dist Secy

D. M.
26/3

13) If any Concession is called for at
all it may be in regard to boiled

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

16249 (4

Serial No.

price. Why the Food Controller refused to grant Certificates to import this article is not stated; nor is it stated why Certificates should not be given in future. The Contractor has no claim to Compensation for loss that may have been sustained by him for want of priority Certificates. If however he could not reasonably have anticipated, at the time he made his tender, that the Food Controller might refuse to issue Certificates and if the difference betn the price of this article in the local market and that at which the Contractor could have got imported it if he had the required permission is material then some reasonable relief may be given

mvl
30.3.'D

Under)
Submit for orders
Apr
1/4

DB

D. M. 1/4

III Member -

14) Enquiry may be made from Food Controller whether his Contractor's state must is true.

KW
1/4

D. M. 1914

Pm 1914

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

16-Aug 1919 (5)

Serial No.	
15	Submitted with draft with reference to note 14 A.T. 20/4 Asst 26/4 16 Mrs Ariyaratne received to-day D. M. 27/4
2	To The Food Controller No 9 24504 dt 28.4.1920 Forwarded copy of the representation presented by messrs K. B Puttappa and Bros stating that they were not given licences certificates to import boiled rice etc: and requests him to state whether the contractor's statement is true for X messrs K. B. Puttappa & Bros: 9 26th April 19 Regrets early orders in the matter 1) The food comptroller has been addressed in the matter. After hearing from him, a decision has to be arrived at. 2) The Contractor will, if approved, be told that the question is under the consideration of Govt Submitted for orders 16 The petr has now sent a copy of his letter A. 29/4. refg to the Food Controller dated 28 9 April. Asst 29/4. 29 A reply has been received from the Food Controller in the meanwhile
3	for The Food Controller No. 5603 9 30th April 1920

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

pro File No. 44 of 1919.

Serial No.

With reference to Mr Hales' that the firm were not given priority certificates because they did not agree to sell rice at 5 seers as fixed by him, while there were other applicants who

2) The reasons for refusing priority certificates are clearly stated in the copy of letter No 9722 dt 3.2.20 from the Food Controller to the I.G.P. prisons.

Submitted for orders

A.7
5/5
Asst 5/5

Secy to Govt for orders

Chief Secy
III Member

D.M. 7/5

2) The letter of the F.C. & its enclosure make the position clear. The food control arrangements are not intended to allow Contractors to make profit on their contracts. If the contractor failed & other arrangements had to be made it would have been a different question & the F.C might in that case have arranged for the necessary import & supply. A good deal of boiled rice is prepared in Mysore City & there is no reason why the Contractor should not have arranged for his supplies from there.

3rd member

CBJ
10/5

D.M.
10/5

6343
11.5.20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

5 Jn

The I.G. of Pris-ms. no. 80/69-20 of 18th June 1920 forwards petition from messrs K.B. Puttappa & Bros, praying that they may be allowed to supply the meat required for the S.C. Jail at market-rates with a Concession of 5% or at-enhanced rates

27) There is already a request from this Contractor that he should be allowed this Concession with regard to the Supply of Grains viz Rice (boiled as well as Raw), Ragi, Tuvvar Dhall and Bella Dhal and the I.G. has been requested to intimate the extra Cost to be involved if the prayer is granted.

28) If sanctioned, the receipt of the reply from the I.G. will be awaited.

S.C. 29)
7/6 reply from the I.G. has been received

6 Jn

The I.G. Pris-ms no. 86/69-20 of 16th June 1920 With reference to 5/4 states that the extra cost to be involved will amount to Rs 29,602-7-0.

30 The amount mentioned by the I.G. includes the cost of all the ration articles

3) As per statement put up, the extra Cost with regard to the Grains and meat amounts to Rs 26,904-15-0

32 The Financial Secy is of opinion that, if any Concession is called for at all, it may be in regard to boiled rice and if the Concession is granted only with regard to this, the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Pro File No. 44 of 1919.

9879 (.7

Serial No.

3) I agree that the Road Contractor was not bound to issue a prior anticipation when contracted, but there is no doubt that his contract is strong handed and is prepared to up his rates if some concession is not shown to him. What will be his extra cost if his wages of the coolies is raised & he is allowed to supply articles at his market rate with 5% commission thereon?

12/5-

24) Please work up to Sub Rich

T. Ser.

Clerk

5

If approved, the information i.e. the extra cost if the Contractor is allowed to supply at the market rates with 5% commission will be called for from the I. G. Prisons

Put up for orders with reference to para 2 & with a draft.

AA 14/5

26

J. Ker
D. M.
14/5

4 To The I. G. of Prisons

No. G. 25958 dt. 14.5.1920

requests him to intimate the exact cost to be involved if the wages of the contractor is granted

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 46 of 1919.

Serial No.

extra Cost to be incurred will amount to Rs 9855-0-7 which includes a Commission of 5% also.

Submitted for orders with reference to para 23

B.
19/6

III Member

D. M.
20/6

8060
21/6/20

33) Why should we pay 5% to the extra if our Contractor is not to bear the loss if any in the works are to be executed at Market rates? The Financial Secretary may please be consulted whether in view of the loss involved any concession can be shown to the Contractor & if so, from what source is the stipend to be met.

Hbn
22/6

34) For favour of opinion

D. M.
22/6

7(20) 30/5/19
3861
2-7/6

Final orders
Issued

35) As regards the claim to Rs 22/6 Compensation for loss of Name Nothing to add to my note of 30.3.20. I see from subsequent Correspondence & notes that the case in regard to boiler fire is also not strong. The corresponding Concession shown has to be met from the Local Budget.

Chetwode
25/6/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 44 of 1917

Serial No.

Submd for orders
D. M.
30/6

III Member

8300
30.6.20.

26) In Inspector General of Prisons may be asked whether the extra cost can be met from the grant kept as provided by the Financial Secretary

Lee
2/7

27) Draft Rules
D. M. 5/7

28) Draft Engineering Instr to for submn
A.T.
6/7

6/7 III Member
D. M. 6/7

291
draft appd
Lee
8/7

163
7.7.20

45) Please send to-day
D. M. 9/7

To the S. G. & Prisons
no 6999 dt 9-7-1920

Enquiries if the extra cost not exceeding Rs 29602-4-0 can be met from the Jail Budget 1920-2 by reappropriation if necessary

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Pris File No. 44 of 1919.

Serial No.

From

Messrs. K. B. Muthappa, Bros
Railway Contractors of Thr. B.G. Jail
dt 13th July 1920

Requests early orders regarding the grant of Compensation to them etc

41) 2/7 Reminder drft → as ordered.
D.
15/7

42) Phased Secd to-day
D. 16.
15/7.

+ Secy. Pay

Rem. no Pa 1070 dt 15-7-192

8 From

The P.G. of Pris-mo no 1081/69-20 dt 21st July 1920

Furnishes his opinion together with the opinion of the Supdt of the B.G. Jail as to how the amount of Rs 29402-7-0 may be met-

43) The P.G. states that the amount to the extent of Rs 21,967 may be met as follows:-

From the Savings in the Pris-mo Budget of 1919-20 and 1920-21 viz Rs 11967-0-0
From the lumpsum Grant of Rs 10,000/- provided for "Improvement of Industries" viz Rs 10,000-0-0

Total Rs 21,967-0-0

and recommends that the deficit amount of Rs 8000/- may be ordered to be met from the General Savings of the State Budget-

44) The Supdt of the Jail states that the extra cost may be met by Reappropriation from the Pris-mo Budget of 1920-21

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

2297/1239

(17

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 44 of 1919

Serial No.

as suggested that, as the budget allotments are for official years, the period of Contract may also be fixed for the official years.

45) The proposals for 'Improvement of Industries' in the two jails are likely to be sanctioned this year and it does not appear to be possible to divert this amount for any other purpose.

It is also not clear if any savings can be expected from the State Budget.

Submitted for orders. Finl Secy may, perhaps, be consulted as aforesaid.

A. 23/7

47) In para 1 of this letter the D.C 23/7 refers to the saving of Rs 3097 from the budget allotment of the D.C jail for 1919-20. As that year is now past, the savings can no longer be considered to be available.

Spl 23/7

48) It is perhaps too early in the year to anticipate the saving of Rs 3600 mentioned in para 2 of the D.C's letter, particularly when the provision of Rs 90,000 under 'Rations and Dietary charges' was based on the revised estimate for 1919-20 and not on any anticipated increase in rates. The same remark perhaps also applies in the case of the sum of Rs 5000 referred to in para 3.

A.R. 23/7

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Pris File No. 44 of 1919.

Serial No.

49) Subd for orders with the information furnished by the D. G. of Prisons

Secy

III Member

50) I am of opinion that those contracts should be strictly enforced & where there is a breach the defaulter should be proceeded against & damages recovered. The system of buying at market rate should only be resorted to when no contractor is willing to take up a contract owing to the uncertainties of the market. Ordinarily these contracts are quite profitable to the men concerned & if owing to miscalculation & misjudgment of the trend of prices a merchant makes a losing contract with Govt he ought to take the consequences just as he makes large profits when market prices go below the contract rate

3rd member

51) The above is no doubt a correctly legal view of the case, but unfortunately a deplorable state

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Pris File No. 44 of 1919

Serial No.

made last year and his contracts were released from his contract and asked to supply at market rates & were paid a commission of 5% for this. This is a bad year as his one that has passed if not worse and we have also done that his contractors are not bound by his contracts just up his contract, his post will be a great loss - he doubts his contractors may be proceeded against for damages, but there is hardly any prospect of recovery of a decree there. The losses which his contractors is expected to bear amount to more than Rs 29,000 and keeping up and the present financial conditions here may mount up higher. It seems time that his contractors deserve some relief. He may be given a rebate of the interest & 30% of his losses —

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on JUL 29 1920
Reg. No. 652
(D.O.C. No. 50).

52) Please submit this shortly 27/7

Dewan

27/7 D. 14.

53 His case must be decided 29/7
Crimes. I want time to take Law Officer's advice.

54 circulate 4/8/20 2-8-20 D. III. 418

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Pris File No. 44 of 1919.

Serial No. 55

An alternative for consideration in such circumstances is to cancel the contract & make the necessary purchases departmentally. No reference to this has been made by either the Superintendent of the Jails or the I.G. Prisons. To compensate the contractors in full for the alleged loss or to modify the terms of the contract in such manner as to allow the contractors a commission of 5% on market prices appear to me to be inadvisable both. The objection for the latter is not at all clear as for the former it is totally opposed to the system of contract. Neither can therefore be considered as sound or reasonable

Read
13.5.20.

16

(For Disposal at a Meeting)

No. C. 97

.....1920-21

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council Matter.

SUBJECT.
(Clause xii of the Schedule.)

Prayers of Messrs. Puttappa Bros., Ration Contractors, Central Jail, Bangalore, and Sowcar D. Muddappa, Ration Contractor, Mysore Jail, that they may be allowed to supply grains at market rates with a small percentage of commission, in view of the losses they have sustained.

CIRCULATED TO THE MEMBERS OF COUNCIL.

By Order,

C Balasundaram
Chief Secretary to Government.

.....6th August 1920.....

Extraordinary Member of Council.

364/1878

First Member of Council. 16.8

826-139
7.8.20

Second Member of Council. Opinion recorded
13.8.20

Third Member of Council. Seen.

97

"D" 11.

17

Prayers of Messrs. Puttappa Bros., Ration Contractors, Central Jail, Bangalore, and Sowcar D. -- Muddappa, Ration Contractor, Mysore Jail, for concessions in connection with the rise in the prices of food-stuffs.

...

Opinion of the Council.

~~The prayers~~

56 Note
The requests of
Puttappa & Muddappa
cannot be granted.
Some consideration may, if necessary,
be shown to them in dealing with
the contracts next year.

CBS
19/8/20

20 To Asst Secy Mily Branch.

CBS
20/8

57 Mazar put up draft.
Ready to issue G.P.
D.M.
20/8

D.M.
21/8

Draft letter to Mil. Q.
of Mrs is put up for approval
D.M.
21/8

Chief Secy

1846

C. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 19

Serial No.

4427
25.8.20

3rd number.

59 Draft. apld
W
25/8

24/8/20 D. W. Dials

60 Issue
W
28/8 D. W. 28/8

9 To Hon S. E. & Provs
No 4458 dt 30-8-1920

States that the prayers of
messrs Puttapha Bros and Sowcar
D. Muddapha cannot be granted
and that some consideration may
if necessary be shown to them in
dealing with the contracts next year

SECRETARIAT 2 SEP 1920

P 2/9

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

19

No. 422/69-20. Office of the Inspr.-Gen. of Prisons in Mysore,
Encl 4. Dated Bangalore, 2/3/20 March 1920

From Rajakarya Pravina Rau Bahadur
~~T.V.Arumugam Mudaliar Esqr.~~, M.B.C.M.,
Inspector-General of Prisons in Mysore,
Bangalore.

16249

Pris C-4—19—1

To

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer Esqr. B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of H. H.
the Maharaja of Mysore, Gen. and Rev. Depts.

Sir,

In forwarding herewith for favour of disposal the petition dated 18-2-20, presented by Messrs. K.B. Puttappa Bros., Ration Contractors of the Jail which was forwarded by the Superintendent Bangalore Central Jail with his No. 254/F.34. dated 24-2-20., (copy of which is enclosed) I have the honor to bring to the notice of Government that the Food Controller refused to grant priorities to the Contractors to import boiled rice from Madras Presidency. The Contractors now pray that they may be allowed to supply the grains Viz. Ragi, Rice, Tuvar and Buller dal at market rates with a commission of 5% for expences Etc, in consideration of the fact that they are sustaining very heavy loss on account of abnormal rise in the price of food grains.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Inspector-General of Prisons.

23495

From

The Inspr.-Gen. of Prisons
in Mysore, Bangalore.

To Chief
The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore,
Gen. and Rev. Depts.

Dated 2/3rd. March 1920.
Despatched
Received 5 MAR 192
General No. 422/69-20
No.
Enclosure No. 4.

Subject.

Forwards for disposal Petition of Messrs K. B. Puttappa Bros. Ration Contractors of the Bangalore Central Jail together with its enclosures Received through the Superintendent Bangalore Central Jail, praying that they may be allowed to supply grain to the jail at Market Rate with a Commission of 5% instead of at Contract Rate.

5/3/20

5/3

5/3

GPB—430—10-9-12

Letter No. 254/F.34. dated 21/24th Feb 20. from the Superintendent Central Jail, Bangalore, to the Inspector-General of In Mysore, Bangalore.

In submitting herewith, in original, petition dated 18th Feb 20 to-gether with its enclosures presented by Messrs. Puttappa Bros., Ration Contractors of this Jail, praing that they may be allowed to supply articles of ration to the Jail at rates ruling in the market with a commission of 5% for cart hire Etc., I have the honor to state that their case may kindly be considered favurably so far as grains are concerned, if the prices have gone up very much as represented by Messrs. K. B. Puttappa Bros.

" CO P Y "

Inspector-General of Prisons.

5/2/3/20.

Dated the 18th February 1920. 21

Memorandum.

Regd. No: 320
18/2/20

From

K. B. Puttappa Bros.,

S. & T. Contractors,

New Taragpet, Bangalore City.

To

The Superintendent,

Central Jail,

BANGALORE.

Dear Sir,

We beg to submit herewith our original petition addressed to the Inspector-General of Prisons in Mysore, Bangalore, stating our grievances as shown in the petition.

We have this day received a reply* to the effect that we shall have to submit the same through proper channel etc., as has been mentioned in the petition.

We request you therefore to kindly go through the petition and if you think that we are reasonable, you will please have the kindness to recommend our case to the Inspector-General of Prisons.

For which act of kindness we shall feel highly oblige,

Beg to remain,
Dear Sir,
Yours faithfully,
K B Puttappa Bros

* as per memo No 358/69-20 dated 17/2/20

* memo is on the back of page 3 of the petition

22



K. B. Puttappa Bros.,

Merchants & Contractors,

New Taragpet, Bangalore City.

11th February 1920.

To

The Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore,

Bangalore.

Dear Sir,

Beg to acknowledge the receipt of the memo No.176/F.34 - dated 6/7th February 1920 from the Superintendent, Central Jail - and the copy of the reply received from your honour's office in connection with our letter dated 21st January 1920 requesting - - priorities to import boiled rice from Madras Presidency for the use of Central Jail.

I.G.'s Order Return the petition to the contractors for submission through proper channel. 16/2/20

1. In this connection we beg to invite your kind attention to the copy of the Government Proceedings No. G.5015-65/P.P.56-19-2 dated 30th August 19 attached herewith for favour of kind perusal. In spite of the Government Proceedings, the Food Controller was not please to grant us certificates and hence we leave the whole - - affair to your kind and favourable consideration. It has become a matter of very great trouble for us to buy small quantities of boiled rice from retail dealers who charge heavy prices taking - advantage of the scarcity of the stuff.

It submitted messrs K.B. Puttappa & Brothers Ration contractors of Bangal pray that they may be allowed to supply the Ration articles to the Jail at market rates with a commission of 5% for expenses as they are incurring heavy loss for reasons stated 14/3/20

2. Our quotations no doubt depend upon the market rate then existing at the time of tender as has been stated by the Food Controller in his reply. It also depends on the strength of the result of cultivation and also the report given by the Food Controller as to the situation of different markets and the movements of traffic etc.

3. Our expectation from the above proved a deception because at the time of our tender the prevailing rates for ragi - varying from Rs.70/- to 75/- per cart load of 640 seers and that of rice from 20/- to 25/- per palla of 100 seers etc. These rates before the new crops set in to the market in

K. B. Puttappa Bros.,

Merchants & Contractors,

New Taragpet, Bangalore City.

10th Feb 1920.

abundance when it was expected all over that prices would fall. Taking this into consideration together the Food Controller's - seasonal reports of the year we quoted our rates accordingly for various articles required (i.e., ragi at 19 lbs and rice at 13 lbs per rupee equals ragi at 66 to 67 per cart load and rice to 18 to 19 per palla, etc.)

4. The sudden change in the policy of Government with respect to the control of the market affected the people in various ways. The removal of all restrictions affected the market in various ways. The ever-watchful outsiders immediately purchased large quantities and imported them to British India while Mysore merchants were still negotiating to purchase grains from outside. In the meanwhile fresh restrictions were imposed with the consequence that our merchants were not able to import any grains from outside. The grains in the Province had been already transported outside in very large quantities.

5. This has upset the market in every way. There is not enough stock in the market as the prices are risen very high. Our ryots have been altogether confused by the sudden change in the policy of Government and such of them as have got surplus stock with them are reluctant to bring out as they are not sure of the future. The same is the case with respect to Thuvar and Bullar Dhals.

6. Regarding boiled rice which is required to the Jail it cannot be had in the State and any small quantity that is needed must be brought only from outside. The Food Controller has refused to grant us certificates (to contractors)

K. B. Puttappa Bros.,

Merchants & Contractors,

New Taragpet, Bangalore City.

10th Feb 1920.

7. As per notification dated 3rd November 19 we put in our tender on 1st December 19 for supplying all the articles required for the use of Central Jail. We were informed on 1st January - 1920 that our tender was accepted to supply the articles from 1st January to the end of December 1920, practically allowing us no time for storing goods. In spite of our having not sufficient - time we have done and have been continuing the supply of necessary articles with considerable difficulties and loss just to avoid putting the authorities into inconvenience.

8. But for the sudden changes effected by Government the market would have been steady, and with the fresh stock that was available we could have supplied the articles to the Jail with little difficulty and that too with a small marginal profits.

9. The articles referred to in group 2 and 3 are not of much consequence and we are prepared either to supply according to tender rates although the rates are not much encouraging or to - supply at the market rates with a commission of 5 per cent for - expenses incurred during the supply (i.e., carting, etc.)

10. Under the existing circumstances we respectfully pray your honour to consider our grievances and allow us to supply the whole or specially No.1 Grains according to bazaar rates with a commission of 5 per cent for expenses etc:-otherwise we will be exposed to considerable loss.

Beg to remain,
Dear Sir,
Yours faithfully,

K B Puttappa

25

Memo No. 358/69-20. Office of the Inspector-General of Prisons
Cause 1. in Mysore,

Dated, Bangalore, 14/4 February 1920.

Returned to Messrs K. B. Puttappa and Brothers, Ration Contractors, Bangalore Central Jail, New Tharagpet, Bangalore City, for submission through proper channel.

Inspector-General of Prisons.

Copy

Proceedings of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

General & Revenue ~~Secret~~ Departments.

R e a d :-

Letter No.1490/119 dated 14th August 19 from the Director of Industries and Commerce in Mysore submitting for the orders of Government a copy of letter No.262 dated 5th July 19 from the Chairman, Mysore Chamber of Commerce, Bangalore, suggesting that heads of Departments may be authorised to issue certificates to Government Contractors that the commodities contracted for are urgently required for Government purposes, etc., to enable them to obtain priority certificates from the Director of Civil Supplies for transhipment in Railway ~~wherever~~ whenever necessary.

Unofficial Note No.3856 dated 23rd August 19 from the Director of Civil Supplies in Mysore on the subject.

Order No.Q.5015-65/P.P.56-19-2 dated Bangalore 30th Aug-19.

****

Government direct that instead of Heads of Departments giving certificates to contractors as proposed, they should address the Director of Civil Supplies in Mysore when priority certificates are required for the movements of goods urgently required for their departments.

(Sd)
For Chief Secretary.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to...16249...

Section pis
File No. 414-19
Serial No. 2

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
( )
, *the* 191

No. Grusone me

Date of issue.....28/4.....

To

Signed by.....W Bn.....,

The Food Comptroller

Sir,

I am directed forward herewith a copy of the letter presented by M. B. Pallapa & Bros, present Contractors for the supply of ration articles to the B.C. Jail and to request that you will be good enough to grant them priority Certificates to import boiled rice from Madras, as they have been sustaining heavy loss and to request you to be so good as to furnish this office with a report as to the allegation referred to.

stating that they were not given priority certificates to import boiled rice, &c,

State whether the Contractor's statement is true.

I have the honour to be, Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

D. 28/4 DR 26/4

D. 28/4

Secretary.

Mis 44-19-3

DIA... 1959/28

Contractor for Meat supplies refers

5963 27.4.20 (Contractor to Central Jail, Bangalore) Contractor for Central Jail, Bangalore

27/4/20

T O P1

K. B. Puttappa Bros.,

Merchants & Contractors,

New Taragpet, Bangalore City.

26th April 1920.

Mir Humza Hussain Sahib, Esq., B.A.B.L.,

Third Member of Council,

Mysore Government, B a n g a l o r e.

Dear Sir,

Most respectfully beg to remind that I am not as yet - - favoured with any sort of decision re: my grievances - - as mentioned in my petition dated 10th February 1920.

As represented personally I have already lost a considerable amount for 4 months (from January to April '20) in supplying rations to Central Jail, Bangalore. As per details worked out from my ledger my loss to this date amounts to nearly Rs.8,000/-, the account of which is open to inspection at any moment, if so pleased.

Further delay in deciding my case would lead to ruin not only to self but for other members of my family.

I do not think, it is the policy of our Benign Government to drive her subjects to such an extent, as I know many instances where mercy is shown under similar circumstances by giving - - facilities either enhanced rates or giving bazaar rates as the case may be. For example in the year 1917-1918 Bazaar rates were extended to kulthi contractors and last year my predecessor got similar facilities from her hands on their representations.

P. T. O.

K. B. Puttappa Bros.,

Merchants & Contractors,

New Taragpet, Bangalore City.

19

The present ruling high rates are due to the causes - - mentioned in my petition dated the 10th February 1920.

While sending up ~~of~~ the above mentioned petition I failed to enclose the copy of the reply from the Food Controller to - I. G. of Prisons for not obliging my prayer in issuing priority - certificate to import boiled rice from Madras Presidency, the original copy of which is herewith enclosed for favour of kind perusal.

I therefore request your honour that if mercy is to be shown in my case, your honour will have the kindness to do so by giving me facilities retrospectively at an early date as prayed for in my petition dated 10th February 1920 otherwise let me be relieved from this business by forfeiting my deposit amount of - Rs.2,000/- which is in the custody of the Superintendent, - - - Central Jail, Bangalore, else I will be a ruined man.

For which act of kindness I shall ever remain very grateful throughout my life,

I beg to remain,

Dear Sir,

Yours obediently,

K B Puttappa

N. B; Also submitted herewith for favour of kind perusal the Report of the "Price Current & Market Report" of the Mysore Chamber of Commerce, showing how rates varied at different times from December 19 to the end of April 20.

K B P

Letter No. 9722 dated the 2nd February 1920, from the Food Controller and Director of Civil supplies in Mysore, Bangalore, to the Inspector-General of Prisons in Mysore, Bangalore.

----0-----

With reference to your letter No. 189/9-19 dated the 24th January 1920, forwarding a copy of letter from the Superintendent, Central Jail, Bangalore, and an application from Messrs. K.B. Puttappa Bros. for priority certificates to import boiled rice, I have the honor to state that imports from outside the State are strictly limited the quantities which are permitted to be imported being alloted by the Government of India, or the Government of Madras from month to month. There was an allotment of only 464 tons of rice to be imported from the Madras Presidency during the month of January 20, and the whole of it has been alloted to co-operative societies and merchants for the supplies required for the Kolar Gold Fields, C & M Station, Bangalore, and a few merchants in the Bangalore city who agree to sell under control i.e., at prices fixed by me. In the nature of the case there are practical difficulties in giving priority certificates to contractors who have supposed to have quoted rates depending on the market conditions. The rice that is imported under control has, as far as possible, to be made available for the poorer classes. It would clearly be objectionable to give special facilities to contractors to get the grains at a cheaper rate while possibly the rates quoted by them and accepted are dependent on the market rates.

There is some boiled rice imported from Bengal under control now available. The prices range from Rs.28-6-0 to Rs.35-12-0 per palla ex godown, Bangalore. If desired I can arrange for supplies at this rate. The rice however is of superior quality and is probably not quite suitable for Jail supplies.

---0----

Memo No. 281/9-19 Office of the Inspector-General of Prisons, in Mysore, Bangalore, dated 5th February 20.

Copy

31

Copy ~~to~~ of the above is forwarded to the Superintendent ,Central Jail, Bangalore , for information, with reference to his No. 83/F. 34 dated 23rd January 1920, and communication to the Ration contractors of his Jail.

(Sd) Frank Narasinha,

For Inspector-General of Prisons.

Memo No. 170/7.34 Dated Bangalore , 6th February 1920.

Copy is forwarded to Messrs. K.B. Puttappa Bros., Ration contractors, for information, with reference to their representation of the 21st January 1920.

6.2.20.

Superintendent,

Central Jail, Bangalore.

IN REPLY REFER TO
NO. 57403
ENCL. Ltr

Tel. Address
"CIVISUP"

OFFICE OF THE FOOD CONTROLLER AND
DIRECTOR OF CIVIL SUPPLIES IN MYSORE.

Bangalore, Dated....30th..April.....192 0

Pris 44-19-3

FROM

K. MATTHAN ESQ., B.A.,
Food Controller and Director of Civil Supplies in Mysore.

7 - MAY 1920

29541

TO

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esqr., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore.

4 - MAY 1920

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. G. 24504 Pris-44-19-2 dated 28th April 1920, I have the honor to state that the statement of Messrs. K. B. Puttappa & Bros., that they were not given priority certificates to import boiled rice etc., is true.

This firm applied on 17th January 1920 for a certificate to import 100 tons of boiled rice and 50 tons of raw rice from the Madras Presidency. The total allotment of Madras rice for the month was 464 tons. There were 48 applicants and of these, four who agreed to import rice and sell it at 5 seers a rupee were selected. This firm was not one of those so selected.

I submit for the information of Government a copy of a communication received by me from the Inspector-General of Prisons enclosing ~~the~~ an application of this firm (No. 189/9-19 dated 24th January 1920 with its enclosure) and my reply thereto(No. 9722 dated 3rd February 1920).

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Food Controller and Director.

Letter No. 189/9-19 dated 24th January 1920 from the Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore to the Food Controller in Mysore.

I have the honor to forward herewith for favor of disposal a copy of letter No. 82/F 34 with its enclosures in original received from the Superintendent, Bangalore Central Jail, in the matter of granting priorities to the ration contractor of the Jail.

C o p y .

Food Controller and Director of
Civil Supplies in Mysore.

30.6.20

Letter No. 82/F 34 dated 23rd January 1920 from the Superintendent, Central Jail, Bangalore, to, the Inspector-General of Prisons in Mysore, Bangalore.

I have the honor to forward herewith the enclosed letter dated 21st January 1920 presented by Messrs. K.B. Puttappa & Bros., present ration Contractors of this Jail, and to request that their case may kindly be viewed favourably and the Food Controller in Mysore addressed in the matter.

Copy.

Food controller and Director
of Civil Supplies.

30-12-20

Letter dated 21st January 1920 from Messrs. K.B. Puttappa & Bros., New taragupet Bangalore City to the The Superintendent Central Jail Bangalore.

Beg to bring the following representation to your kind consideration and advice. I twice applied for priorities to import boiled rice from Madras Presidency, and on both the occasions I am sorry to inform you that the priorities were not granted. Yesterday I had a personal talk with Mr. Matthan; Food Controller on the above subject and requested him at least to grant me a priority this time as there is scarcity for boiled rice in our bazars. The Officer replied me that I, as a contractor should make my own arrangements and he could not help me in any way.

Under the existing circumstances, I beg to represent that it is very diffcult to procure boiled rice in our markets, unless and until I am favoured with priorities to import from outside Provinces.

As a contractor in S & T Corps(Military Department) I used to get priorities every now and then whenever I wanted. I thought I would get the same previlage here as well with least diffculty but I am sorry the matter has taken a different course altogether

I therefore request your honor to forward this application to the authorities concerned, with your kind recommendation to import boiled rice from out stations, otherwise to allow me the previlage of supplying raw rice in place of boiled rice.

Copy.

For Food Controller and Director
of Civil Supplies.

30-11-20

Letter No. 9722 dated 3rd February 1920 from the Food Controller and Director of Civil Supplies in Mysore to the Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore.

With reference to your letter No. 189/9-19 of the 24th January 1920, forwarding a copy of letter from the Superintendent, Central Jail and an application from Messrs. K.B. Puttappa & Bros., for priority certificates to import boiled rice, I have the honor to state that imports from outside the State are strictly limited, the quantities which ~~were~~ we are permitted to import being allotted by the Government of India or the Government of Madras from month to month. There was an allotment of only 464 tons of rice to be imported from the Madras Presidency during the month of January and the whole of it has been allotted to Co-operative Societies and merchants for the supplies required for the Kolar Gold Fields, C and M Station, Bangalore and a few merchants in the Bangalore City who agree to sell under control, i.e., at prices fixed by me. In the nature of the case there are practical diffculties in giving priority certificates to contractors who are supposed to have quoted rates depending on the market conditions. The rice that is imported under control has as far as possible to be made available for the poorer classes. It would clearly be objectionable to give special facilities to contractors to get the grains at a cheaper rate while possibly the rates quoted by them and accepted are dependent on the market rates.

There is some boiled rice imported from Bengal under control now available. The prices range from Rs. 28-6-0 to Rs. 35-12-0 per palla ex-godown Bangalore. If desired I can

arrange for supplies at this rate. The rice however is of superior quality and is probably not quite suitable for Jail supplies.

C O P Y

B Mukherjee

Food Controller and Director 3/4

of Civil Supplies

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to ..19879.....

Section pins
File No. 44-19
Serial No. 4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
( )
, the 191

No. G25958

Date of issue.....14/5/20.....

To

The I.G. of Prisons

Signed by.....Mr P. M.....

Sir

With reference to your letter no. 422/89-20 dated 31st March 1920 forwarding the petition from messrs K. B. Pattappa & Bros praying that they may be allowed to supply ration articles to the B.B. Gaol at market rates with a Commission of 5%, I am directed to request you to be so good as to intimate the extra cost to be involved if the prayer of the Contractor is granted.

The favour of an early reply is requested.

Secy.

14/5

Pr M. 14/5

I have the honour to be Sir

39

22161

WD 06—GPB—300—11.4.16

Pris. 3]

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF PRISONS IN MYSORE.

No. 807 / 69-20 Encl. 1. *Dated Bangalore, the* 1st June 20

FILE No. 44-19-5

TRIAL No.

FROM Rajakarya Pravina Rau Bahadur

T. V. Arumugam Mudaliar Esqr., M. B. C. M.,

Inspector-General of Prisons in Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esqr., B. A.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of

H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

In continuation of my letter No. 422/69-20 dated 2/3rd March 1920, I have the honor to forward herewith for disposal a petition submitted through the Superintendent - Bangalore Central Jail by Messrs K. B. Puttappa & Bros., present Ration Contractors of the said Jail, praying that they may be allowed to supply the meat required for the Jail at Bazaar rates with a commission of 5% or at enhanced rates.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

T V Arumugam

Inspector-General of Prisons.

FROM

THE INSPECTOR-GENERAL OF
PRISONS IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Govt.

Dated 1st June 20.

Despatched

Received 2 JUN 1920

General No. 807

No. 69-20

Enclosure No.

Forwards for disposal a petition submitted by Messrs. K. B. Puttappa Bros present Ration Contractors of the Bangalore Central Jail, praying that they may be allowed to supply the said Jail with meat at Bazaar Rates with a Commission of 5% or at enhanced Rates

P.Rs
2/6...
d

Regd: No: 843

23/5/20

43

K. B. Puttappa Bros.,

Merchants & Contractors,

New Taragpet, Bangalore City.

22nd May 1920

To

The Superintendent,

Central Jail, BANGALORE.

Dear Sir,

In continuation of my letters 28th April and 14th May 1920 I beg to bring to your honour's kind notice re: the supply of - meat to Central Jail. Ever since the commencement of the supply of meat, in particular, the rates have gradually risen. The - The present rate of meat vary abnormally from Re.1/- to Re.1-4-0 per seer and that too with bones. Your honour will have the kindness to consider how the butchers would charge for meat with-out bones? My present rate for the above is 4 lbs. a Rupee which is equivalent to two seers a rupee. Judging from this your honour - will have the kindness to note that the loss I sustain is more than 50 % on one single item.

I request your honour therefore to kindly see that my case is recommended to the higher authorities either for enhancement - of rates or to supply meat at Bazaar rates with 5% Commission, whichever your honour deems fit.

I beg to remain,

Dear Sir,

Yours faithfully,

K B Puttappa

Pris. 3]

DIAF 22975

No. 861/69-20 Office of the Inspr. Gen. of Prisons in Mysore, Pris

Encl 1

FILED NO. 44-19-C

Dated Bangalore, 16-17 June 1920 *191*

FROM Rajakarya Pravina Rau Bahadur

T. V. Arumugam Mudaliar Esqr., M. B. C. M.,

Inspector-General of Prisons

in Mysore, Bangalore.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esqr., B. A.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of H. H. the

Maharaja of Mysore, B a n g a l o r e.

SIR,

Adverting to your letter No. G. 25958/Pris. 44-19-4 dated the 14th May 1920 calling for information regarding the extra cost to be involved if the prayer of Contractors Messers. K. B. Puttappa Brothers is granted, I have the honor to state that as per statement furnished by the Superintendent Bangalore Central Jail which is submitted here with for favour of perusal, the actual cost for four months from January to April 1920 calculated according to contract rates is Rs. 12382-2-9; the average cost per month is therefore Rs. 3095-8-8 and that the approximate cost for the whole year comes up to Rs. 37146-8-0. According to market rates the approximate cost for the year comes up to Rs. 66748-15-0 and hence there is a difference of Rs. 29602-7-0 which has to be paid to the Contractors if their prayer is granted.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Arumugam

Inspector-General of Prisons.

FROM

THE INSPR.-GEN. OF PRISONS

IN MYSORE, BANGALORE.

To

The Chief Secy to

Govt

33510

Dated 16th June 20

Despatched

Received 17 . 192

General No. 861/69-20
No.

Enclosure No. 1

Forwards a Comparative Statement received from the Superintendent Bangalore Central Jail, showing the extra Cost to Government if the prayer of Contractors Messrs K. B. Ponnappa Bros. is granted Etc.,

Insp
Pris
17/6/20
17/6

Rec'd
17/6

GPB—300—6-7-14

Comparative Statement showing the Cost & Qu as well as at Rates ruling in the M

Serial No:	Names of articles	For the month of January 1920						For the month of				
		Quantity Consumed	According to the Rates of Contractors			According to the Bazar Rates		Quantity Consumed	According to the Rates of Contractors			A
			Rate per rupee		Amount	Rate per rupee	Amount		Rate per rupee		Amount	Ra
		lbs.	ozs.		lbs. ozs.		lbs. ozs.	lbs.	ozs.		lbs. ozs.	lbs.
1	Rice (Boiled)	7272	4	13	559 6 11	5 8	1322 3 8	6484	4	13	498 12 7	5
2	Rice (Raw)	157		13 8	11 10 1	6	26 2 8	61		13 8	4 8 4	6
3	Ragi	23343	8	19	1228 9 8	10	2334 5 7	22412	6	19	1179 9 7	12
4	Jowar Dhall	3645	9	10	364 8 11	5 8	662 13 3	4340	5	10	434 6 5	
5	Bonller Dhall	888	11	9	98 11 11	4 8	193 7 9			9		4
6	Curry Powder	507	15 9	4 4	119 8 5	2 11 2	188 7 8	581	6 13	4 4	136 12 11	2
7	Onions	647	9 12	25	25 14 5	12	53 15 6	616	3 14	25	24 6 5	12
8	Garlic		10 9	3 8	3	2	5 4		1	7½ 3 8	0 5	2
9	Tamarind	644	15 8	18	35 13 3	12	53 11 11	615	14	18	34 3 5	12
10	Salt	950	9 4	26	36 8 11	24	39 9 8	903	8	26	34 11 10	24
11	Pepper		6 12½	3	2 4	2	3 6		9	5¾ 3	3 2	2
12	Bread	18		8	2 4	5	3 9 7	33	12	8	4 3 6	4
13	Ayre	34		16	2 2	16	2 2	9	8	16	9 6	8
14	Gingelly oil	240	2 4	2	120 1 2	1 8	160 1 7	228	15 7	2	114 7 9	1
15	Ghee	11	15 8	1 4	9 9 3	12	30 13 4	5	3 8	1 4	4 2 10	
16	Vegetables	2	4	20	1 9 7		5 2	12	8	20	10 7	
17	Dosji			6		4		7	4	6	1 3 4	4
18	Ingwe	17	12 8	5	3 8 11	2 8	7 1 9	11	9 8	5	2 5 2	2 8
19	Coffee		9	1 8	6	1 8	6	3	6	1 8	2 4	1 8
20	Tea			2 4		2 4				2 4		2
21	Soap nut	62		10	6 3 2	8	7 12	42		10	4 3 2	8
22	Marking nut			30		16				30		16
23	Lamp oil	1		3	5 4	2	8	1		3	5 4	2
24	Cocoanut oil	17		2 8	7 11 2	1 8	11 5 4	7	8	2 8	2 15 11	1 8
25	Meat	8.59	4	4	214 3	7 as above	375 14 9	553		4	138 4	9 as above
26	Chilie Lead			2		1		10		2	5	1
27	Grain			2		3				2		3
28	Country Cotton	2		3	10 8	Re:1/8	3	2		3	10 8	Re:1/
29	Milk	Pt. 293	¾	8 pints	36 11 6	7 pints	41 15 5	148	¾ Pt.	8 pints	18 9	7 pints
30	Straw brooms	No: 150		No: 26	5 12 3	No: 22	6 13 1			No: 26		No:
31	Cocoanut brooms	No: 150		No: 32	4 11	No: 18	8 5 4			No: 32		No:
32	Kerosine oil	10 Tins & 6 Bottles		Rs: 4/ per Tin	41	Rs: 4/4/- a Tin	41 1	10 Tins & 6 Bottles		Rs: 4/- a Tin	41	Rs: 4/ a
33	Bandage cloth	12 yds		4 yds	3	1 yd	12	12 yds		4 yds	3	1 yd
34	Dressing cloth	6 yds		2½ yds	2 6 5	1 yd	6	6 yds		2½ yds	2 6 5	1½ yd
35	Bar Soap	1 Bar		12 as above	12	9 as above	9	1 Bar		12 as above	12	9 as

ement Calculated at Contract Rate for 1920 by
Reh.

...nuary 1920	For the month of March 1920					For the month of April 1920				
...ding to Bazar Rate	Quantity Consumed	According to the Rate of Contractor		According to the Bazar Rate		Quantity Consumed	According to the Rate of Contractor		According to the Rate of Bazar	
Amount		Rate per rupee	Amount	Rate per rupee	Amount		Rate per rupee	Amount	Rate per rupee	Amount
	lbs			lbs						
1178 15 3	7562	13	581 11 1	5 8	1374 14 7	8324 6	13	6524 11	5 8	1526 4
10 2 8	85	13 8	6 4 9	6	14 2 8	155	13 8	11 7 8	6	25 13 4
1867 11 2	26789 2	19	1409 15 3	14	1913 8 2	27998	19	1473 9 3	14	1997 13 9
868 1	5097 4	10	509 11 7	4 8	1132 11 7	5360 6	10	536 7	4 8	1191 3
		9		4 12			9		4 8	
215 11 7	6911 8 1	4 4	162 12 6	2 13	250 6 9	7324 1	4 4	1724 8	2 12	266 6 4
51 5 8	738 6	25	29 8 6	12	61 8 6	7830 2	25	315 6	12	65 4 10
10		3 8		2 8			1½ 3 8		3 2 8	5
57 5 2	738 6	18	41 4	12	61 8 6	7836	18	438 4	12	65 4 6
37 10 1	1078 24	26	41 7 5	28	38 8 1	11436	26	433 15 10	28	40 13 7
4 9	16 14 3		7 8 2		11 7	18	¼ 3		8 2	12 1
8 7	814 8		40 2 6	4	20 10	1018	8	121 11	4	25 6
1 3	258	16	1 9 6	8	3 3	718	16	47 6	8	8 15
52 10 5	2691 2	2	134 12 7	1 8	179 11 5	2842	2	1427 1	1 8	189 14 9
6 15 4	96	1 4	7 8	12	12 8	607	1 4	85 6	12	13 4 8
1 12 7	38 40	20	1 4 11 7		5 8 3	412	20	27 10 7		5 14
1 13	8	6	1 4 4		2	24	6	6	4	9
4 10 2	145 8	5	2 15 11 2	8	5 15 10	17 12	5	5 8 10	2 8	7 17
2 4	96	1 8	6 4	1 8	6 4	95	1 8	63 4	1 8	6 3 4
		2 4		2			2 4		2	
5 4	62	40	6 3 2 8	7 12		52	40	53 2 8		6 8
		30		16			30		16	
8		3		2			3		2	
5	7	2 8	2 12 9	1 8	4 10 8	68	2 8	29 7	1 8	4 5 4
11 1	775 10	4	193 14 6	9 as above	436 9 7	9330	4	233 6 6	9 as above	525 2 7
10	10	2	5	1	10		2		1	
		2		3		5	2	2 8	3	1 10 8
3	2	3	10 8	Re 1/8/- as above	3	2	3	10 8	Re 1/8/- as above	3
1 4	125¾ lbs	8 lbs	15 11 6	7 lbs	17 15 5	169¼ lbs	8 lbs	21 2 6	7 lbs	24 2 10
	~~No: 26~~	No: 26		No: 22			No: 26		No: 22	
		No: 32		No: 18			No: 32		No: 18	
11 1	10 Jars + 6 Bottles	Rs 4/- a Jar	41	Rs 4/4/- a Jar	41 1	10 Jars + 6 Bottles	Rs 4/- a Jar	41	Rs 4/4/- a Jar	41 1
8	12 lbs	4 lbs	3	1½ lbs	8	12 lbs	4 lbs	3	1½ lbs	8
4	6 lbs	2½ lbs	2 6	1½ lbs	4	6 lbs	2½ lbs	2 6	1½ lbs	4
9	1 Barg	12 as above	12	9 as above	9	1 Barg	12 as above	12	9 as above	9

Serial No:	Name & articles	For the months of January 1920 Quantity Consumed	According to the Rate of Contractor Rate per Rupee	According to the Rate of Contractor Amount	According to the Bazaar Rate Rate per rupee	According to the Bazaar Rate Amount	For the months of Quantity Consumed	According to the Rate of Contractor Rate per rupee	According to the Rate of Contractor Amount
		lbs ozs	lbs ozs		lbs ozs		lbs ozs	lbs ozs	
36	Safety matches	4½ Dozs	3 as 3 a doz	13	3 as 3 a doz	13	2⅓ Dozs	3 as 3 a doz	7
37	Dholy Sand	786	4 as 8 lbs for 2 lbs 15 ozs	13 6	32	24 9	498	4½ as 8 for 2⅓ lbs	5 9 7
38	Big Chimneys	No: 4	1 rupee each	4				1 rupee each	
39	Small do		6 as 8 each				No: 2	6 as 8 each	12
40	Linseed oil		2		12 as 8 for 1½ lbs			2	
41	Turpentine		2		1			2	
42	Zinc Buckets		Rs 2/4 each					Rs 2/4 each	
43	Gatham Baneys		Rs 3/ each					Rs 3/ each	
44	Mall turbans		Rs 4/- each		8 as 8 a yd			Rs 6/- each	
	Total			2956 3 11		5620 7 10			2700 10 3

Abstract

Month.	Cost according to Contract Rate			Cost according to the Bazaar Rate.		
For January 1920	2956	3	11	5620	7	10
For February 1920	2700	10	3	4886	10	8
For March 1920	3257	10	1	5643	4	7
For April 1920	3467	10	6	6099	3	2
Total for 4 months	12382	2	9	22249	10	3
Average cost per month Therefore = = =	3095	8	8	5562	6	7
The total approximate Cost for the whole year Therefore = = =	37146	8		66748	15	

The Central Jail,
Bangalore
10th June 1920

...ruary 1920		for the month of March 1920				for the month of April 1920			
...cording to Bazar Rate.		Quantity Consumed	According to the Rate of Contract.		According to the Bazar Rate.		According to the Rate of Contract.		According to the Rate of the Bazar.	
	Amount		Rate per rupee	Amount	Rate per rupee	Amount		Rate per rupee	Amount	Rate per rupee	Amount
		lbs.	lbs.		lbs.		lbs.	lbs.		lbs.	
7		2 1/3	3 as. 2 lbs.	7	3 as. 2 lbs.	7		3 as. 2 lbs.	7	3 as. 2 lbs.	7
15 9		802	4½ as. for 25 lbs.	9 4	32	25 1	665	4½ as. for 25 lbs.	7 7 8	32	20 12 6
			1 rupee each					1 rupee each			
			6 as. each					6 as. each			
1 1		1 8	2	12	12 as. for 1½ lbs.	12		2		12 as. for 1½ lbs.	
		1 8	2	12	1	1 8		2			
		12	Rs: 2/4/- each	27				Rs: 2/4/-			
			Rs: 3/- each					Rs: 3/- each			
			Rs: 6/- each					Rs: 6/- each			
4886 10 8				326 7 6 1		6643 4 7			3467 10 6		6099 3 2

Remarks

According to Contract rate the total cost for the whole of calendar year 1920 roughly amounts to Rs: 37146/8/- According the Bazar rate the amount for the same period comes to Rs: 6748/15/- (excluding Commission at 5 per cent.) If the contractor's prayer is to be granted half of the same Rs: 3374/7/6 will have to be debited to the Current Official year's budget and the remaining half to the Budget of the next year. The Budget allotment originally sanctioned for the Current official year is Rs: 38420/- The expenditure till the end of April 20 is Rs: 56715/6/- owing to the payment made to the former contractor at Bazar rate with 5 per cent Commission from July to December 1919. Hence the extra amount required for the remaining portion of the Current Official year would be about Rs: 30000/- in case the prayer of the contractor to supply articles at Bazar rate is granted.

11.6.20

Superintendent
Central Jail

43

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 22975

Section Pris
File No. 46-19
Serial No. 7

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
( )
, *the* 191

No. 6699

Date of issue 9/7

To The I. G. of Prisons

Signed by Mr Pm

Sir

With reference to Correspondence ending with your letter No 881 69-20 of 16th June 1920 Re the petition of messrs K. B. Pattappa Bros, Ralim Contractors of the B.C. Jail I am directed to ~~sign~~ enquire if the extra cost not exceeding mentioned in your letter under reply No 29, 60 2-7-0, Can be met from the Jail Budget of 1920-21 by reappropriation if necessary

I have etc

In case the ~~petn's prayer~~ petn is ~~is Rejected~~ shown any concession

Mr
10/7/17

D. M.
6/7
For Ed Secy

W.P. 9/7

44

1239

No. 1081 Ene 2. 69-20 OFFICE OF THE INSPR.-GEN. OF PRISONS IN MYSORE,

Dated Bangalore, 21st July 1920.

FROM

C. A. Nanjappa., Esqr., L. M. S., Etc.,

Inspector-General of Prisons in Mysore,

Bangalore.

pms 44-19-8

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer., Esqr., B. A.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of H. H.

the Maharaja of Mysore, [illegible] Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No. G. 699/ Pris. 44-19-7 dated 9th July 1920, I have the honor to state that the Superintendent, Bangalore Central Jail who was requested to intimate whether he could meet the amount of Rs. 29602/7/-, from the amount applied for by him for expenditure in the Jail during 1920-21, has replied that there is a savings of Rs. 3097/- from the Budget allotment of the Bangalore Central Jail for 1919-20 and that he could also meet the remaining amount by reappropriation of funds of the Prison's Budget. I send herein enclosed copy of his letter together with its enclosure on the subject for favor of perusal.

2. In this connection I have to state that the present - average monthly expenditure of Jails and Lock-ups in the State under the head " Dietary charges " is approximately Rs. 7200/- per month or Rs. 86400/- per annum. The amount provided for expenditure, under the said head for the current year is rupees 90000. A savings of Rs. 3600/- can therefore be effected from that source if the rates are not altered for worse in the near future.

3. A savings to the extent of nearly Rs. 5000/- can also be effected from different sanctioned heads of the Jail Budget. The

savings

Cal

2025

From

The Inspr.-Gen. of Prisons
in Mysore, Bangalore.

To

The Chief Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore,
~~Gen. and Rev. Depts.~~

Dated 21st. July 20

Despatched

Received 22 JUL 20

General No. 1081

No. 69-20

Enclosure No. 2

Subject.

States how the amount of Rs. 29602-7-0 which has to be paid to Messrs. K. B. Puttappa Brothers, is sanctioned by Government, can be met and forwards copy of Bangalore Central Jail Superintendent's letter with its enclosure in support of it.

Please put up.

23/7

GPB—460—10-9-12

savings from these sources will only amount to Rs. 11697/-. I therefore suggest that the lump sum grant of Rs. 10000/- provided for improvements under " 3 Jail Manufactures " should also be utilized for this purpose; and I strongly recommend that any deficit amounting to Rs. 8000/- or so may kindly be ordered to be met from the General Savings of the State budget or a fresh allotment sanctioned in the future interest of getting articles of diet required for use of prisoners in the Bangalore Central Jail, by contract system.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Inspector-General of Prisons.

Letter No. 902/F. 34 dated 17th July 1920 from the Superintendent, Central Jail, Bangalore, to the Inspector-General of Prisons in Mysore, Bangalore.

- - - - - - -

With reference to your memo No. 1037/69-20 dated the 13th instant forwarding copy of letter No. G.699/Pris 44-19-7 dated 9th July 1920 from the Chief Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore enquiring if, in case the contractor of the Jail is shown any concession re- the supply of articles at bazaar rates, the extra cost involed thereby - not exceeding Rs 29602/7/-, can be met from the Jail budget of 1920-21 by re-appropriation, if necessary, I have the honor to enclose herein a statement from which it may be seen that the extra cost referred to above may be met by re-appropriation, if there be fall in prices, from the Prisons Budget for the year 1920-21, in as much as Rs 39200/- (Rs. 109500-70300) more than in the previous year has been alloted for the whole State, but it is not known how much has been apportioned to this Jail out of the total allotment of Rs. 109500/-.

2. In this connection, I beg to submit that the budget - allotment each year is for the official year, whereas the contract is for the Calendar year which means the amount for one Calendar year's contract has to be met from the allotments of two official years. I therefore venture to submit that, as the budget allotments are for official years, the period of contract has to be fixed also for the official years.

- - - - - - - - - - -

"C O P Y"

Inspector-General of Prisons.

21st July 1920.

Statement showing the Budget Allotment and Expenditure during the years 1919-20 and 1920-21.

Serial No.	Head	Draft Budget estimate for 1920-21 for the whole - State.	Budget estimate for 1919-20 for the whole - State.	Budget apportioned to the Jail for 1920-21	Budget apportioned to this Jail for 1919-20	Actual expenditure in this Jail during 1919-20	Remarks.
1.	Diettary charge	90000 0 0	55000 0 0		50000 0 0	51468 10 9	
2.	Hospital charges	1000 0 0	1000 0 0		800 0 0	885 14 6	
3	Clothing and Bedding	5000 0 0	5000 0 0		5600 0 0	3682 9 3	
4	Sanitation charges	5000 0 0	1500 0 0		1100 0 0	401 14 10	
5	Charges for moving prisoners	2000 0 0	1500 0 0		1000 0 0	1034 6 1	
6	Micellaneous Services and supplies.	5000 0 0	5000 0 0		5600 0 0	3760 9 1	
7	Contingencies	1500 0 0	1000 0 0		500 0 0	268 5 3	
	Total Rupees.	109500 0 0	70300 0 0	- Not known as yet. -	64600 0 0	61502 5 9	

Office of the Inspr-Genl of Prisons in Mysore,
Dated, Bangalore, 21st July 1920.

(Sd) Mahomed Hoidulla
Sceptor B.C. Jail.

"C O P Y"

Inspector-General of Prisons.

48

Pris

G.R.S. 26]

File No 45-19

Serial No. 9

Diary No. of letter replied to 1239

No. 4758

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 19

To

The I. G. of Prisons

Sir

Date of issue 31/

Signed by

Serial 500/th hitherto file.

With reference to the Correspondence ending with your letters nos 1081/69-20 and X1270/69-20 dated 21st July and 19th August 1920, regarding the prayers of Messrs Pattabhi Bros and Lowcay & Muddappa, Ration Contractors of the Bangalore and Mysore Jails, for Concessions in Connection with the rise in the prices of food stuffs, I am directed to state that their ~~prayers~~ requests cannot be granted and that some Consideration may, if necessary, be shown to them in dealing with the Contracts next year. ~~and to request that they may be informed accordingly.~~

I am clear I is to be communicated to Contractors

may remain as it is until between Superintendent & Contractors

SUBJECT.

Over.

D. 2/8

D. 2/8

D. 2/8

cpy 2/8